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Solute–solvent clusters of pyrazine, pyrimidine, and benzene (solutes) and CₙH₂ₙ₊₂ (n = 1,2), NH₃, and H₂O (solvents) are studied by the techniques of supersonic molecular jet spectroscopy and two-color time-of-flight mass spectroscopy (two-color TOFMS). Spectral shifts, van der Waals (vdW) modes, dissociation energies, and vDW mode–solute mode vibronic couplings are characterized for most of the observed clusters. Based on these data and previous results for hydrocarbon systems, cluster geometries can be suggested. Lennard-Jones potential (6-12-1) calculations are also performed for these clusters and in all instances for which comparisons can be readily made, calculated and experimentally estimated geometries and binding energies agree completely. Clusters of N-heterocyclic solutes and H₂O are not observed experimentally. Systematics and trends among the clusters reported herein and those previously reported are discussed and analyzed.

I. INTRODUCTION

Supersonic molecular jet spectroscopy has made possible the study of a wide variety of weakly bound, solute–solvent van der Waals (vdW) clusters in the gas phase. Cluster investigations have enhanced our understanding of intramolecular and intermolecular interactions and potentials, vibrational energy dynamics and chemical reactions, structural properties of small aggregates of solute and solvent molecules, and nucleation and growth of small clusters. Clusters can also be considered as model systems for condensed phase behavior. Moreover, these vDW systems can be thought of as an important new state of matter in which the static and dynamic properties of small aggregates of weakly coupled molecules can be studied.

vdW clusters, after being produced in a supersonic jet expansion, can be probed by three distinct techniques: fluorescence excitation (FE), dispersed emission (DE), and two-color time-of-flight mass spectroscopy (two-color TOFMS). The latter technique is employed most often in our studies of clusters because it gives unique cluster identification, brackets the cluster binding energies, and elucidates cluster vibrational energy dynamics and vibrational predissociation.

In the past few years, we have reported several studies of vDW clusters using the three spectroscopic techniques mentioned above.1-7 Cluster geometry, binding energy, nucleation and growth dynamics, and limits on the vibrational energy dynamics and vibrational predissociation times have been determined. The vDW solute–solvent clusters investigated initially are for the most part restricted to aromatic hydrocarbon solutes (e.g., benzene and toluene) and small alkane solvents (CH₃C₂H₆ and C₃H₈) in which only one type of interaction, that is one potential form, is found to be important for the solute–solvent coordination. Expanding on these previous studies we are now exploring solute–solvent clusters with N-heterocyclic solutes (e.g., pyrazine and pyrimidine) and alkane solvents and N-heterocyclic solutes with hydrogen bonding solvents (e.g., water and ammonia). The initial report of this effort for pyrazine and methane, ethane and propane clusters has already appeared.7

In this paper we discuss the two-color TOFMS study of pyrimidine clustered with CH₄ and C₂H₆, pyrazine and pyrimidine clustered with NH₃, and benzene clusters with H₂O and NH₃. The pyrimidine–alkane clusters are presented for comparison with the previously published7 pyrazine–alkane data: The effect of the ring nitrogen atoms on the cluster geometry can thereby be evaluated. The pyrazine and pyrimidine ammonia clusters reveal the role of hydrogen bonding interactions in simple clusters. Benzene–water and ammonia clusters serve as an example of clusters with these more complicated solvent systems (i.e., two possible interaction potentials) interacting with aromatic hydrocarbons. Theoretical and experimental studies of such a series of systems should eventually lead to a fuller understanding of solute–solvent coordination structure, dynamics, and the hydrogen bonding interaction.

Although extensive efforts were made and a wide variety of experimental conditions explored, pyrazine and pyrimidine clusters with water were not observed. Both FE and two-color TOFMS detection techniques were employed. A broad feature (roughly 50 cm⁻¹ FWHM) was observed in FE at 580 cm⁻¹ to the blue of pyrazine O0₁ transition for pyrazine and water expanded with helium. No signal, however, was observed at the pyrazine water mass channel for two-color TOFMS. We must thus conclude that the pyrazine and the pyrimidine water clusters have not been observed. Either the excited n*n* state of the cluster is dissociative or internal conversion or intersystem crossing is so rapid for these clusters that the lifetime of the n*n* state is greatly reduced ( ~ 0.1 ps). Pyrimidine–fluorinated alcohol clusters

---
a) Supported in part by grants from ONR and the Philip Morris Corporation.

also exhibit broad features and reduced lifetimes.\textsuperscript{8}

Hydrogen bonding interactions are known to play an important role in intra- and intermolecular interactions important for secondary and tertiary molecular structure, molecular dynamics, and ionic and molecular solvation. Hydrogen bonding interactions have received attention in previous supersonic molecular jet spectroscopic studies: These systems include phenol clustered with various proton accepting molecules,\textsuperscript{9} indole and 2-aminopyridine clustered with various solvents,\textsuperscript{10} benzoic acid dimers,\textsuperscript{11} s-tetrazine dimers,\textsuperscript{12} 1,4-dihydroxyanthraquinone,\textsuperscript{13} and methylsalicylate.\textsuperscript{14} In most instances blue-shifted cluster spectra are found due to the cluster stabilization of the ground state and destabilization of the excited state.\textsuperscript{15}

In this and our other cluster studies, the experimental data are supplemented by potential energy Lennard-Jones (LJ) atom–atom (6-12-1) calculations of cluster structure, binding energy, and internal motion. The calculations and the potential are discussed thoroughly in our previous studies.\textsuperscript{7} The LJ calculations produce identical geometries but slightly lower binding energies than the exp-6 potential form used in earlier calculations.\textsuperscript{1-6} The LJ hydrogen bonding (LJ–HB) potential form proves to be more versatile than the exp-6 form since many more constants for different types of atom–atom interactions have been independently reported for the LJ potential.\textsuperscript{16} None of the potential parameters employed in this work is fit to the cluster data.

As is well known and widely accepted, geometries of isolated molecules and clusters are best obtained through spectroscopic observation of rotational structure. In fact, rotational structure of a number of simple clusters has been observed under molecular jet conditions: s-tetrazine and iodine with He and Ar\textsuperscript{17} and aniline with Ne and Ar.\textsuperscript{18} The resolution available to us at present is 0.08 cm\textsuperscript{-1}; at this resolution only rotational envelopes are observable which do not lend themselves readily to a unique interpretation of cluster structure. We calculate that 0.005 cm\textsuperscript{-1} resolution would be required to resolve rotational structure for C\textsubscript{5}H\textsubscript{5}N\textsubscript{2}(NH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{r}, etc., under the restriction of a rigid geometry. We are thus for the present forced to employ less direct methods to obtain cluster geometry. Assignment of the spectra is accomplished through the determination of ionization energies, spectral shifts, relative intensities, (molecular) symmetry forbidden cluster transitions, and potential calculations. The understanding of these more complex systems rests heavily on the previous data obtained for other clusters.\textsuperscript{1-7} For all systems discussed in this paper, complete agreement between spectroscopic data, calculations, and results for previously analyzed solute–solvent clusters is found.

II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

The experimental apparatus and procedures are similar to those used previously for the study of vDW clusters. The vacuum system consists of two chambers with a pulsed nozzle and mass detection system in the second chamber. A skimmer separates the pulsed nozzle and the time-of-flight mass spectrometer. The first chamber contains either a pulsed or cw nozzle, the molecular beam from which can be taken into the second chamber through a skimmer. FE and DE experiments are carried out in the first chamber.

The two independent lasers used in the two-color TOFMS experiments are Nd\textsuperscript{3+}/YAG pulsed lasers the double output of which pumps two dye lasers. The dye laser output can be mixed with the 1.064 \textmu\text{m} Nd\textsuperscript{3+}/YAG fundamental, frequency mixed and doubled, or just doubled using various nonlinear KDP crystals. The laser output can be extended from greater than 4.5 to \textapprox-0.215 \textmu\text{m}. One laser is employed to excite the cluster to its first excited \( n\pi^* \) or \( \pi\pi^* \) electronic state and the second laser then ionizes this cluster starting from the \( S_1 \) vibronic manifold. The maximum ionization energy achievable with this second laser is roughly 46 500 cm\textsuperscript{-1}.

The solute or cluster chromophore is typically placed in an in-line trap or filter cup directly behind the pulsed valve. Water is placed in a trap before the valve and solute; the helium carrier gas passes over both materials and into the valve. Gaseous solvents are premixed with the helium carrier gas in a holding tank (\approx2000 psi) at concentrations varying from 2.0 to 0.1 mol/mol%.

The LJ potential function (6-12-1) with the additional HB form is described in detail in a previous publication.\textsuperscript{7} Table I contains a list of the previously unreported constants employed with this potential form. Pyrazine and pyrimidine structures used in the calculations are obtained from Ref. 19.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline
& \( E_q = 1.16 \times 10^9 q_q/2r_q + A_q/r_q^6 - C_q/r_q^8 \) (LJ) & \( A \) (cm\textsuperscript{-1} Á\textsuperscript{12}) \( C \) (cm\textsuperscript{-1} Á\textsuperscript{8})
\hline
\textbf{Amine-aromatic} & & \\
N–N & 1.312 \times 10^6 & 1.403 \times 10^5 \\
N–C & 1.728 \times 10^6 & 1.575 \times 10^5 \\
N–H & 2.523 \times 10^6 & 4.527 \times 10^4 \\
H–C & 2.749 \times 10^7 & 5.217 \times 10^4 \\
H–H & 3.872 \times 10^6 & 1.590 \times 10^4 \\
H–N & 1.990 \times 10^7 & 4.527 \times 10^4 \\
\textbf{Water-aromatic} & & \\
O–N & 7.548 \times 10^7 & 1.021 \times 10^5 \\
O–C & 9.868 \times 10^7 & 1.130 \times 10^5 \\
O–H & 1.363 \times 10^7 & 3.162 \times 10^4 \\
H–C & 3.160 \times 10^7 & 5.217 \times 10^4 \\
H–H & 4.537 \times 10^6 & 1.590 \times 10^4 \\
H–N & 2.297 \times 10^7 & 4.527 \times 10^4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}
III. RESULTS

This section contains the experimental and calcula-
tional results for the various clusters investigated. We first present pyrimidine with methane and ethane for comparison with the previously reported pyrazine clusters. Pyrimidine and pyrazine ammonia clusters are then discussed and benzene water and ammonia clusters are presented for a comparison with the N-heterocyclic systems. Based on previous experience with a number of different clusters, we have not made an extensive experimental study of the binding energies of these clusters. We rely on the calculations which have always fallen within the range bracketed by the experimental two-color TOFMS data. Preliminary checks made on both pyrazine and pyrimidine clusters are in agreement with the calculations. Vibrational vdW modes observed in the spectra will not be assigned in this publication. Future publications will assign them as bends, stretches, torsions, and combinations utilizing a normal coordinate calcula-
tional analysis. 20

A. Pyrimidine–methane

Figure I and Table II present the data for the pyrimidine
(CH₄)₁ and (CH₄)₂ complexes taken near the pyrimidine 0₂
transition (31 073.0 cm⁻¹). The cluster of pyrimidine
(CH₄)₁ has a spectral shift of - 56.6 cm⁻¹ and a low fre-
quency mode at 4.5 cm⁻¹ from this origin. These spectra are
obtained by two-color TOFMS. Hints of other vdW modes
can also be seen in the trace in Fig. 1 but we are hesitant to
report such weak transitions. Pyrimidine (CH₄)₂ spectra
clearly show two clusters, similar to previously reported
clusters for other aromatic systems. 1-7 The feature at
- 112.1 cm⁻¹ in Fig. 1 is assigned as the 0₂ transition of the
isotropic (symmetric), additive shift cluster and the feature
at - 47.2 cm⁻¹ is attributed to the 0₂ transition of the ani-
otropic (asymmetric) cluster with both methanes on the same
side of the aromatic ring. Note that without both mass and
energy resolution, the spectra of pyrimidine (CH₄)₁ and
(CH₄)₂ would not be resolved and the clusters could not be
separated and uniquely identified. A vdW mode at 5.1 cm⁻¹
from the isotropic cluster origin is observed.

Potential energy calculations using LJ potentials for
these clusters generate geometries and binding energies com-
parable to those previously reported for other aromatic–al-
kane systems and in complete accord with the above experi-
mental findings (see Fig. 2). Calculations for pyrimidine
(CH₄)₂ clusters yield only one geometry for which the meth-
ane is coordinated with the aromatic π system of the pyrimi-
dine ring. The calculated binding energy for this cluster is
514 cm⁻¹. The methane–carbon atom is above the ring at 3.5
Å and is shifted ∼0.1 Å from the ring center toward the
nitrogen atoms. The three hydrogen atoms of methane that
point down toward the ring are equidistant from the ring at
3.1 Å; two of these hydrogens point directly at the ring nitro-
ge atoms. Again in agreement with the main experimental
observations for these clusters, the calculations for pyrimi-
dine (CH₄)₂ clusters yield two distinct geometrical arrange-
ments. The isotropic cluster has a calculated binding energy
of 1029 cm⁻¹ and the anisotropic cluster has a calculated
binding energy of 879 cm⁻¹.

B. Pyrimidine–ethane

The pyrimidine (C₂H₆)₁ spectrum is quite complicated,
consisting of a number of low intensity features and an in-
tense feature at - 60.7 cm⁻¹ with respect to the pyrimidine

![FIG. 1. Two-color time-of-flight mass spectra (two-color TOFMS) of pyri-
midine (CH₄)₁ and pyrimidine (CH₄)₂ in the region of the pyrimidine ori-
gin (31 073.0 cm⁻¹).](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Energy (vac. cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>Energy relative to pyrimidine 0₂ (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>Energy relative to cluster 0₂ (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>Assignment ¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C₄H₈N₂(CH₄)₁</td>
<td>31 016.4</td>
<td>- 56.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31 020.9</td>
<td>- 52.1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₄H₈N₂(CH₄)₂</td>
<td>30 960.9</td>
<td>- 112.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>iso 0₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 966.0</td>
<td>- 107.0</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31 025.8</td>
<td>- 47.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>aniso 0₂</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹The - 112.1 cm⁻¹ shift is associated with two methanes added symmetrically above and below the pyrimi-
dine ring (isotropic), as shown in Fig. 2. The - 47.2 cm⁻¹ shift is associated with the anisotropic configura-
tion, as shown in Fig. 2. 

origin (see Fig. 3). We might expect that little vdW vibronic intensity would be observed, based on pyrazine and other pyrimidine clusters. In order to begin to interpret these features we must consult the vapor phase room temperature pyrimidine monomer spectrum. In these reports, features at -156 and +22 cm\(^{-1}\) are assigned as the \(16a_1^1\) and \(16b_1^1\) sequence bands, respectively. The feature that appears in Fig. 3 at -39.1 cm\(^{-1}\) may be assigned as the \(16b_1^1\) sequence band of the pyrimidine \((\text{C}_2\text{H}_6)_1\) cluster built on the intense -60.7 cm\(^{-1}\) cluster origin. If this identification is correct, then the clusters of pyrimidine \((\text{C}_2\text{H}_6)_1\) are hot \((T_{\text{vib}}\ll 260 K)\) and the features in Fig. 3 and Table II at -153.5, -99.3, -86.9, and -75.4 cm\(^{-1}\) may well be hot bands associated with the cluster origins at -71.4, -60.7, and -52.7 cm\(^{-1}\). Apparently the cluster formation process for pyrimidine ethane tends to warm the cluster. Table III summarizes these results.

Supersonic expansion of pyrimidine apparently does not produce the expected cooling for vibrational modes \(16b_1\) and \(16a_1\). Vibrational temperatures for the \(16b_1\) mode have been reported to be in excess of 200 K. Ito and co-workers have also observed the \(16b_1\) transition for pyrimidine clustered with argon and nitrogen. In the present work, changes in backing pressure from 10 to 120 psi do not change the relative band intensities: apparently these modes present a bottleneck for vibrational cooling.

As can be seen in Fig. 4, three different configurations are calculated to be stable for the pyrimidine \((\text{C}_2\text{H}_6)_1\) cluster. Configuration I has the long axis of ethane perpendicular to the plane of the pyrimidine ring. Configurations II and III have the ethane molecule long axis more or less parallel to the plane of the ring; for configuration II, the axis of ethane lies between a nitrogen and a carbon and for configuration III this axis lies between two carbon atoms. In each instance a CH\(_3\) group lies more or less over the ring center.

### C. Pyrimidine-ammonia

The pyrimidine \((\text{NH}_3)_1\) two-color TOFMS spectrum in the range 300 to 500 cm\(^{-1}\) to the blue of the pyrimidine origin is presented in Fig. 5. The three features are associated with the \(0_0^0\) transitions of the pyrimidine \((\text{NH}_3)_1\) clusters. The lack of significant vdW vibrational mode intensity indicates that the ground and excited state vdW potentials are nearly identical. The large cluster blue shift implies a strong hydrogen bonding interaction between the pyrimidine and the ammonia. The spectrum of Fig. 5 is taken with an ioniza-

![Pyrimidine Clusters](https://example.com/pyrimidine_clusters.png)

**FIG. 2.** Minimum energy configurations and binding energies for pyrimidine \((\text{CH}_4)_1\) and pyrimidine \((\text{CH}_4)_2\) as obtained using the LJ potential calculation described in the text.

**FIG. 3.** Two-color TOFMS of pyrimidine \((\text{C}_2\text{H}_6)_1\) in the region of the pyrimidine origin.

### TABLE III. Observed peaks in the spectra of pyrimidine-ethane clusters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Energy relative to pyrimidine (0_0^0) (\text{(cm}^{-1})</th>
<th>Energy relative to cluster (0_0^0) (\text{(cm}^{-1})</th>
<th>Tentative assignment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\text{C}_4\text{H}_4\text{N}_2(\text{C}_2\text{H}_6)_1)</td>
<td>30 919.5</td>
<td>-153.5</td>
<td>(1) (0_0^0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 973.7</td>
<td>- 99.3</td>
<td>() (0_0^0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 986.1</td>
<td>- 86.9</td>
<td>() (0_0^0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 997.6</td>
<td>- 75.4</td>
<td>() (0_0^0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31 001.6</td>
<td>- 71.4</td>
<td>(0) (0_0^0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31 012.3</td>
<td>- 60.7</td>
<td>() (0_0^0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31 020.3</td>
<td>- 52.7</td>
<td>() (0_0^0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31 033.9</td>
<td>- 39.1</td>
<td>21.6 () (II 16b_1^1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
tion energy of 45 110 cm⁻¹; lowering the ionization energy to ~42 000 cm⁻¹ the two nearly degenerate features at + 367 cm⁻¹ decrease in intensity much more rapidly than the feature at + 496 cm⁻¹. Table IV gives the energies and assignments for the pyrimidine (NH₃)₁ clusters. Based on the idea that the three features in this spectrum are associated with three different pyrimidine (NH₃)₁ cluster geometries, the two nearly isoenergetic configurations must be quite similar. The feature at + 496 cm⁻¹ must correspond to a different (more hydrogen bonded) geometry.

Three different configurations are calculated for the pyrimidine (NH₃)₁ cluster using the LJ–HB potential form (see Table I and Ref. 7). Two of these configurations have the ammonia above the pyrimidine plane and the third configuration has the NH₃ molecule not above the ring. These clusters are presented in Fig. 6 along with the calculated cluster binding energies. Configuration I has the ammonia N-atom 3.2 Å above the pyrimidine ring with the three ammonia hydrogen atoms pointing down toward the π cloud. The two closest hydrogen atoms of the ammonia in this configuration are 2.7 Å from the pyrimidine plane. In configuration II, the ammonia nitrogen atom is 3.2 Å above the ring plane and points in the general direction of the ring N atoms. The closest two ammonia hydrogen atoms to the ring are at 2.7 Å. This cluster has a somewhat lower binding energy than cluster I (667 vs 689 cm⁻¹). In the third pyrimidine (NH₃)₁ configuration, the ammonia molecule does not reside over the pyrimidine ring: the NH₃ has a N–H bond in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy (vac. cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>Energy relative to corresponding pyrimidine feature (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>Energy relative to corresponding pyrimidine–ammonia feature (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>Assignment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31 378.2</td>
<td>305.2</td>
<td>-60.9</td>
<td>I 0º</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 439.1</td>
<td>366.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>I 0º</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 441.0</td>
<td>368.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>II 0º</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 485.7</td>
<td>412.7</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 520.0</td>
<td>447.0</td>
<td>80.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 535.2</td>
<td>462.2</td>
<td>96.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 561.3</td>
<td>488.3</td>
<td>122.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 568.9</td>
<td>495.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>III 0º</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 579.3</td>
<td>506.3</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 610.1</td>
<td>537.1</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 685.1</td>
<td>612.1</td>
<td>116.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 044.6</td>
<td>360.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>I 6a¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 050.8</td>
<td>366.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>II 6a²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 097.2</td>
<td>355.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>I 6b¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 108.2</td>
<td>379.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>II 6b²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 121.5</td>
<td>379.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>II 6b²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 162.6</td>
<td>492.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>III 6a³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 224.7</td>
<td>482.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>III 6b³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PYRIMIDINE - AMMONIA

\[ \text{FIG. 6. Minimum energy configurations and binding energies for pyrimidine (NH}_3\text{), as obtained using a LJ plus HB potential calculation.} \]

D. Pyrazine-ammonia

The pyrazine (NH\(_3\)_1) spectra in the \( \sigma \), \( \omega \), and \( 6\sigma \) regions are presented in Fig. 7. One first notices the significant difference between these data and those of pyrimidine (NH\(_3\)_1). The spectra all strongly suggest that only one configuration is present for the pyrazine (NH\(_3\)_1) system. The intense feature in the \( 0\sigma \) spectrum is the cluster origin at +117 cm\(^{-1}\) from the pyrazine \( 0\sigma \) transition. The remaining features of this spectrum are vDW vibrational modes of the cluster. They will be analyzed in a future publication. The \( 1\sigma \) and \( 6\sigma \) transitions could arise from one reduced symmetry cluster. Since very little a priori spectroscopic analysis seems possible in this situation at the present time, great stock must be placed in the calculations.

Two different configurations are calculated for the C\(_6\)H\(_6\)(NH\(_3\)_1) cluster and both of them have the NH\(_3\) molecule placed over the ring. Configuration I in Fig. 10 preserves the benzene C\(_3\) axis and configuration II does not. The high symmetry cluster has the N atom 3.3 Å from the ring plane and the three H atoms of NH\(_3\) are 2.9 Å above the ring plane. Configuration II has the N atom 3.3 Å above the plane and slightly shifted from the ring center. Two H atoms of the
TABLE V. Pyrazine (NH$_3$)$_1$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy relative to corresponding pyrazine feature (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Energy relative to corresponding pyrazine-ammonia cluster (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Assignment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30 967.3</td>
<td>91.3</td>
<td>-25.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 993.0</td>
<td>117.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 000.8</td>
<td>124.8</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 019.5</td>
<td>143.5</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 036.5</td>
<td>160.5</td>
<td>43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 043.6</td>
<td>167.6</td>
<td>50.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 045.4</td>
<td>169.4</td>
<td>52.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 067.3</td>
<td>191.3</td>
<td>74.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 070.0</td>
<td>194.0</td>
<td>77.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 077.4</td>
<td>201.4</td>
<td>84.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 089.7</td>
<td>213.9</td>
<td>96.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 093.7</td>
<td>217.7</td>
<td>100.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 099.2</td>
<td>223.2</td>
<td>106.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 387.7</td>
<td>119.7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 384.9</td>
<td>125.9</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 400.5</td>
<td>141.5</td>
<td>21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 403.7</td>
<td>144.7</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 424.3</td>
<td>165.3</td>
<td>45.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 441.8</td>
<td>182.8</td>
<td>63.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 461.3</td>
<td>202.3</td>
<td>(82.6)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 467.5</td>
<td>208.5</td>
<td>(88.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 507.5</td>
<td>248.5</td>
<td>128.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 513.7</td>
<td>254.7</td>
<td>135.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 539.5</td>
<td>280.5</td>
<td>160.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 559.7</td>
<td>300.7</td>
<td>181.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 580.2</td>
<td>120.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 590.8</td>
<td>131.1</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 624.8</td>
<td>165.1</td>
<td>44.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*May be associated with other pyrazine features.

NH$_3$ point towards C–C bonds and one points away from the ring plane. The NH$_3$ H-atoms in this configuration are at 2.9 Å (two of them) and 4.3 Å from the ring. The calculated binding energies of these two configurations are given in Fig. 10. Configuration II alone must generate the 0$_6^e$ spectrum in Fig. 9.

F. Benzene–water

The C$_6$H$_6$(H$_2$O)$_1$ cluster 0$_6^e$ and 6$_6^e$ are presented in Fig. 11. They are strikingly different from those of C$_6$H$_6$(NH$_3$)$_1$ (Fig. 9) but bear a strong resemblance to the pyrazine (NH$_3$)$_1$ spectra displayed in Fig. 7, as well as spectra of other systems studied in our laboratory. In particular, a very clear vibronic progression is present for the 0$_6^e$ spectrum and will be analyzed in a future publication. Table VI gives

PYRAZINE – AMMONIA

FIG. 8. Minimum energy configuration and binding energy for pyrazine (NH$_3$)$_1$, as obtained using a LJ HB form potential calculation.

FIG. 9. Two-color TOFMS of benzene (NH$_3$)$_1$, in the region of the benzene 0$_6^e$ and 6$_6^e$ transitions.
these features and their energies. The $6_0$ spectrum of 
$C_6H_6(H_2O)_1$ has a different shift ($+50$ vs $+85 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) and
a different general pattern than the $6_0^\circ$. In addition, the $6_0^\circ$
origin is split by roughly $1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Considerable vibronic
coupling must occur between the in plane carbon–carbon
stretch $6_1$ and the vdW modes. The $C_6H_6(H_2O)_1$ cluster
two-color TOFMS spectra are observed only at a higher ion-
ization energy than required to observe the $6_0^\circ$ spectrum of
bare $C_6H_6$. The $C_6H_6(H_2O)_1$ spectra depicted in Fig. 11 are
taken with an ionization laser energy of $44\ 480 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ com-
pared to $36\ 100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for the benzene monomer. The ioniza-
tion energy for the benzene water cluster is $3300 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ higher
than that of the benzene monomer.

Only one geometry is calculated for the $C_6H_6(H_2O)_1$
cluster employing the LJ potential. The configuration and
binding energy are given in Fig. 12. The oxygen atom of
water is roughly centered over the ring at $3.2 \text{ Å}$ above it and

the water hydrogen atoms are at $3.0 \text{ Å}$ above the ring. The
calculated water benzene binding energy is $505 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

IV. DISCUSSION

Cluster geometry is determined through analysis of two-
color TOFMS data for individual clusters and through cal-
culations of cluster geometry and binding energies using an
augmented LJ potential. Calculated binding energies have

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy (vac. cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Energy relative to corresponding benzene feature (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Energy relative to corresponding benzene–water feature (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Assignment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>38 168.6</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$6_0^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 173.9</td>
<td>89.9</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>$6_0^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 185.5</td>
<td>101.5</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>$6_0^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39 191.0</td>
<td>107.0</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>$6_0^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 205.3</td>
<td>121.3</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>$6_0^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 221.6</td>
<td>137.6</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>$6_0^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 243.5</td>
<td>159.5</td>
<td>74.9</td>
<td>$6_0^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 274.9</td>
<td>190.9</td>
<td>106.3</td>
<td>$6_0^\circ$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Shift taken with $6_1^\circ$ at 49.1.
BENZENE–WATER
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FIG. 12. Minimum energy configuration and binding energy for benzene (H₂O), as obtained using a LJ potential calculation.

always been roughly bracketed by the experimentally observable range defined by two solute molecule vibrations (e.g., 520 to 850 cm⁻¹ for the 1:1 complex).¹−⁷

Before discussing the details of the clusters observed, some general remarks are in order. First, one should take note of the great apparent differences between the spectra of the various clusters studied: pyrazine and pyrimidine hydrocarbon spectra show little vdW vibronic structure but intense origins; the pyrazine (NH₃)₁ spectrum displays elaborate and well developed vibronic progressions with a + 117 cm⁻¹ cluster shift for a single cluster; the pyrimidine (NH₃)₁ spectra consist only of intense origins for three large shift (+ 366, + 368, + 496 cm⁻¹) configurations with no vdW vibronic development; the benzene (NH₃)₁ spectra are red shifted, and too complex to interpret without further calculations; and the benzene (H₂O) spectra are blue shifted with extensive vdW structure. Second, and perhaps even more astonishing, the LJ–HB (where appropriate) potential calculations parallel and reinforce these differences in all cases. That is, for example, the calculations suggest one hydrogen-bonded configuration for pyrazine (NH₃)₁ but three hydrogen-bonded configurations for pyrimidine (NH₃)₁, in agreement with the straightforward interpretation of the spectra. Third, the binding energies of the clusters seem relatively insensitive to the detailed configuration of the cluster. Fourth, spectral shifts are found to be a sensitive function of the detailed geometry of the cluster. Proximity to the σ system is important for cluster red shifts, while hydrogen bonding yields in general cluster blue shifts with respect to the solute monomer origin.

A. Pyrimidine–methane

In the pyrimidine (CH₄)₁ cluster the CH₄ molecule is situated above the pyrimidine ring coordinated to the π cloud of the aromatic ring. The cluster has a simple spectrum with little vdW vibronic intensity following the pyrimidine vibronic origins. The cluster spectrum is red shifted, indicating that the excited state cluster is more tightly bound than the ground state cluster by roughly 60 cm⁻¹. The overall appearance of the spectrum is similar to that of pyrazine methane.⁷

The additive shift features in the spectrum of pyrimidine (CH₄)₂ are attributed to the isotropic (symmetrical) geometry with a methane molecule on either side of the pyrimidine ring. The feature at − 47.2 cm⁻¹ in the pyrimidine (CH₄)₂ spectrum is attributed to the anisotropic configuration with both CH₄ molecules on the same side of the ring. In this asymmetric geometry, one methane is more or less above the ring, and responsible for most of the CH₄–π–cloud interactions, and the other methane molecule is off the ring interacting primarily with the first methane, contributing little to the cluster spectral shift.

The overall behavior of this cluster system with regard to geometry, binding energy, and cluster population in the beam is very similar to that found for benzene, toluene, and pyrazine methane species.¹−⁷

B. Pyrimidine–ethane

The pyrimidine (C₂H₆)₁ cluster is similar to the pyrazine (C₂H₆)₁ cluster:¹ both clusters have three geometrical configurations and each configuration of the two clusters has a similar binding energy. These configurations are also similar to those of the benzene (C₂H₆)₁ cluster with the exception that, in the N-heterocyclic systems, two parallel orientations of the C₂H₆ long axis with respect to the ring plane are now possible.

The identification of calculated geometries with the three distinct spectroscopic features is of course tentative but can be pursued in the spirit of the arguments and correlations employed with the benzene and pyrazine systems.¹−⁷ Referring to Figs. 3 and 4, the feature at − 71.4 cm⁻¹ can be associated with configuration I, the intense feature at − 60.7 cm⁻¹ can be associated with configuration II, and the − 52.7 cm⁻¹ feature is associated with configuration III. The lack of vdW vibronic structure for these transitions must be due to the similarity between the ground and excited state potentials for the clusters.

C. Pyrimidine–ammonia

The pyrimidine (NH₃)₁ clusters have a unique spectrum which can only be interpreted as due to three distinct configurations with no vdW mode progression intensity following the well defined origins. Calculations, as pointed out previously, give exactly these conclusions and identification of origins in the spectrum with configurations seems straightforward. Configurations I and II of Fig. 6 are associated with the features at 366 and 368 cm⁻¹ in Fig. 5. These two configurations are quite similar and have less hydrogen bonding interaction than the more blue-shifted single feature at 496 cm⁻¹. The large spectral blue shifts of ~365 and ~500 cm⁻¹ must arise from the strong hydrogen bonding interactions. While none of these observations seem particularly striking in and of itself, in comparison with the pyrazine (NH₃)₁ and benzene (NH₃)₁ results, they are surprising; these will be discussed below.
D. Pyrazine–ammonia

The pyrazine \((\text{NH}_3)_1\) cluster spectrum is completely different from that of pyrimidine \((\text{NH}_3)_1\). The cluster \(\theta_{06}^0\) is shifted \(+ 117 \text{ cm}^{-1}\) from the pyrazine \(\theta_{06}^0\), only one cluster geometry is present, and a rather extensive vdW vibronic structure is built upon the origin. The \(6\sigma_{06}^0\) spectrum is quite similar. Two intense vdW vibronic transitions are associated with these cluster transitions. The \(10\alpha_{0}^0\) vibration region of the pyrazine \((\text{NH}_3)_1\) cluster, on the other hand, looks quite different from these other features and vibronic interactions between the out of plane \(10\alpha_{0}^1\) carbon–carbon mode and the vdW modes are quite evident in the overall vibronic intensity pattern in the \(10\alpha_{0}^0\) region.

Calculations predict only one configuration for this cluster system. The fact that the potential energy calculations can accurately parallel the spectral data for pyrimidine and pyrazine ammonia clusters which are clearly so different, gives us a high degree of confidence in the calculational process, the binding energies, and the potential form accuracy.

E. Benzene–ammonia

Assignment of the benzene \((\text{NH}_3)_1\) spectra has not been attempted as yet because the spectra are too complicated. We present them only as part of the general picture indicating what spectra of relatively simple clusters of such systems can be like. The benzene \((\text{NH}_3)_1\), clusters yield much more complicated spectra than either pyrazine or pyrimidine \((\text{NH}_3)_1\), clusters do. In the benzene \((\text{NH}_3)_1\), cluster, strong vdW vibronic interactions must be important for the cluster transition intensity.

Both calculated configurations (Fig. 10) contribute to the \(\sigma_{06}^0\) transition but only configuration II generates the \(\sigma_{06}^0\) spectrum. Note too, that both configurations most likely generate a red shift.

F. Benzene–water

Any \(\text{C}_6\text{H}_6(\text{H}_2\text{O})_1\), cluster will in principle generate a \(\sigma_{06}^0\) transition. The \(\sigma_{06}^0\) spectrum of \(\text{C}_6\text{H}_6(\text{H}_2\text{O})_1\) (Fig. 11) thus strongly suggests that only one cluster geometry is realized for this system. The \(\text{C}_6\text{H}_6(\text{H}_2\text{O})_1\), \(\sigma_{06}^0\) transition is much like the pyrazine \((\text{NH}_3)_1\), spectrum. Well developed vdW vibronic features are observed. The \(\sigma_{06}^0\) spectrum implies strong vibronic mixing between the in plane carbon–carbon deformation \(6^1\) and the vdW bends and torsions: the cluster shift is different for \(\sigma_{06}^0\), as is the intensity pattern. The cluster shift at the \(\sigma_{06}^0\) transition is \(+ 83 \text{ cm}^{-1}\), which indicates that the \(\pi\) excited state is destabilized with respect to the ground state. The blue shift may be related to the unique hydrogen bonding capabilities of the \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) molecule with the \(\pi\) system of benzene. The calculated binding energy is probably \(- 50\) cm\(^{-1}\) low for this cluster because the \(\sigma_{06}^0\) transition at \(\sigma_{06}^0\) plus \(520 \text{ cm}^{-1}\) is observed. We have previously noted that the LJ potential binding energy is roughly \(50 \text{ cm}^{-1}\) low compared to exp-6 and experimental values.

An infrared study of the 1:1 benzene–water complex in an argon matrix has been reported. The work suggests that the water molecule hydrogen bonds to the benzene \(\pi\) system in a manner nearly identical to that found in the calculations presented in Fig. 12.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The clusters studied in this work fall into two broad categories: a conventional set containing pyrimidine hydrocarbon clusters, the spectra of which are quite similar to those of other aromatic and pyrazine hydrocarbon systems, and benzene, pyrimidine, and pyrazine ammonia and benzene water clusters, the spectra of which are all unique and surprisingly erratic. In the latter grouping, spectral cluster shifts range from \(- 100\) to \(+ 500 \text{ cm}^{-1}\). vdW vibronic spectra range from nonexistent to intense, vdW modes can be highly perturbing to the solute vibronic structure and energy, and the number of cluster configurations varies from one to three in an apparently arbitrary fashion. These differences notwithstanding, the Lennard-Jones (6-12-1) potential, augmented appropriately with hydrogen bonding interactions (10-12) as required, always gives geometry and binding energy results that are in complete agreement with the spectra as far as the comparison can be made (i.e., symmetry, numbers of configurations, red and blue shifts with regard to hydrogen bonding, etc). The atom–atom LJ potential form has been chosen for these calculations because a large number of parameters for different types of atoms are available in the literature.

The benzene \((\text{NH}_3)_1\) and \((\text{H}_2\text{O})_1\) spectra are quite different from one another. The shifts for these two clusters and their geometries seem to emphasize the importance of hydrogen bonding in the benzene \((\text{H}_2\text{O})_1\), cluster.

Pyrazine and pyrimidine water clusters are not found in these studies although they have been extensively investigated. These clusters are not observed perhaps because their excited states are dissociative, but more likely because of rapid excited state intersystem crossing and/or internal conversion.

---

17(a) P. J. Wheatley, Acta Crystallogr. 10, 182 (1957); (b) 13, 80 (1960).