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In this final paper in the series we present the overall vibrational band structure of MF₆ crystals based on the previously discussed two-particle, heavily doped mixed crystal, and neat crystal data, in addition to dilute mixed crystal studies. Calculations of ν₂ and ν₅ bands based on a quadrupole-quadrupole intermolecular interaction model are determined to be in substantial agreement with the observations. The ν₁ bands are discussed in detail for a series of concentration studies and it is possible to demonstrate that MoF₆ and WF₆ conform to the ideal mixed crystal model whereas crystals containing UF₆ do not. A consistent picture of gas-to-crystal shifts, resonance interactions, band shapes and structures, densities of exciton states, Fermi resonance, and simple multipolar interaction models emerges from these studies.

1. Introduction

In the two preceding papers [1,2] the Raman spectra of neat Mo, W, and UF₆ crystals were discussed and the structure of the overtone and combination Raman bands was investigated. In this paper we will analyze each of the exciton bands corresponding to the octahedral molecule normal modes of vibration in as much detail as possible. We are motivated in this phase of the investigation by an interest in the nature and magnitude of the intermolecular forces in the ground electronic state. Optical spectra of paramagnetic ReF₆ and IrF₆ evidence several important differences in these various host crystals [3,4]; it is suspected that host systems play a major role in pair-exchange couplings. Host systems also contribute significantly to spectra of guest molecules in the form of numerous intense two-particle features (guest electronic transition and ground state host vibration). Moreover, the two-particle states do not fall into the usual classification schemes. It is believed that these phenomena are intricately related to the somewhat different intermolecular interactions that arise in these inorganic molecular solids.

In addition to the $k = 0$ structure of these bands in neat crystals, mixed crystal data will be used to obtain site information and crude band structures, to identify origins of $k = 0$ structure, and to obtain information on the dispersion near $k = 0$. Approximate densities of states from two-particle bands of neat crystals and electrostatic multipole calculations for the ν₂ and ν₅ bands will also be employed to elucidate the intermolecular interactions.

Inherent in this analysis is the concept of an ideal mixed crystal [5]. In this limit, the substitution of one molecular type by another at a particular site in the crystal would cause no change in interactions between molecules but would simply remove the possibility of resonant energy transfer between the different type molecules. Initially these hexafluoride systems would appear promising in this regard because the crystal structures are very similar and there are significant differences in molecular vibrational frequencies. However, one must be aware that the differences in these frequencies are by and large not associated with an isotope-like effect. Only the ν₃ vibration includes a significant motion of the central metal atom. The changes are largely consequences of the different electronic properties of the molecules. As will be shown, the MoF₆ and WF₆ systems have similar
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intermolecular interactions. However, in the UF₆ crystal, presumably due to the presence of f orbital character in the molecule, the intermolecular interactions are somewhat different. Consequences of these differences will be discussed.

There are some interesting phenomena in the mixed crystal series which can be discussed in light of existing binary mixed crystal theory [5]. The theory is quite restrictive in the cases for which quantitative predictions exist. Preservation of crystal structure and equality of resonance transfer integrals limits strictly valid discussion to modes of WF₆/MoF₆ mixed crystals. For this series, comparisons must be made only on a mode-by-mode basis.

Finally, a summary of conclusions about the neat crystal Raman spectra [1,2] is in order as justification for division of the discussion of the series of vibrational bands according to molecular vibrational label rather than chemical identity: (1) the reduction of molecular symmetry in the crystal allows Raman scattering intensity for all molecular vibrations. The differences of relative intensities in the spectrum of each compound appears to be controlled by crystal and molecular Fermi resonances; (2) the k = 0 structure observed in UF₆ frequently spans roughly twice the width of the MoF₆ or WF₆ structure. The larger electronic polarizability of UF₆ is correlated with this fact; and (3) the k = 0 structures, though on different energy scales, are extremely similar if allowance is made for overlapping bands.

2. Theory

2.1. Mixed and neat crystals

A number of recent reviews exist on the subject of excitons in molecular crystals [5–8]. Using the frozen lattice approximation (neglect of external molecular motions) the crystal hamiltonian is (in the tight binding approximation)

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^0 + \mathcal{H}', \quad \text{(1)}$$

in which

$$\mathcal{H}^0 = \sum_{nq} \mathcal{H}_{nq}^0 \quad \text{(2)}$$

is the sum of site adapted molecular hamiltonians for the Z sites indexed by q in the N unit cells indexed by n. The intersite hamiltonian is assumed to be the sum of all pairwise terms

$$\mathcal{H}' = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{nq, n'q'} V_{nq, n'q'} \quad \text{(3)}$$

The conventional solution scheme is to assume an orthonormal localized basis which diagonalizes each \( \mathcal{H}_{nq}^0 \) separately. This basis is transformed into one-site exciton functions which transform irreducibly in the translational subgroup of the crystal space group and which diagonalize the Z operators (\( \mathcal{H}^0_{q} = \sum_{n} \mathcal{H}_{nq}^0 \)). Finally, \( \mathcal{H}' \) is diagonalized in a limited space of these functions. These spaces usually are restricted to single excitation functions derived from one isolated molecule level. In general, \( \mathcal{H}_{nq}^0 \) yields either site or molecular energies \( e_f^0 \) (depending on the degree of approximation employed) and \( \mathcal{H}' \) gives rise to both diagonal \( D' \) (static) and off-diagonal (resonance or dynamic) crystal terms.

In order to use this model it is often convenient to have the static crystal terms included in \( \mathcal{H}^0 \) so that \( \mathcal{H}' \) will be traceless in the appropriate space. An ideal dilute mixed crystal would then have guest excitation energies equal to those generated by \( \mathcal{H}_{nq}^0 \) for the corresponding neat crystal of the guest. In other treatments the operator \( \mathcal{H}_{nq}^0 \) may be different for the same molecule in mixed or neat crystals due to different effective site potentials.

The theory of disordered molecular crystals is typically applied to isotopic mixed crystals [5]. The assumptions made are: (1) the lattice is conserved—only substitutional disorder occurs; (2) only the static (site) interactions may vary, while the dynamic resonance exchange interactions are unchanged; and (3) the site symmetry is not effectively altered. It is conventional to write the site excitation energies for the \( f \)th excited state as \( e_f^0 + D_f' \) with \( e_f^0 \) being the site (or often the molecular) energy and \( D_f' \) being the static crystal interactions. In this case a more stringent condition than (2) can be imposed (ideal mixed crystal); only \( e_f^0 \) may change from molecule to molecule but the static interactions \( D_f' \) remain constant in the various crystals.

Letting \( e_A^f \) and \( e_B^f \) be the site excitation energies, a convenient parameter is

$$\Delta' = e_B^f - e_A^f, \quad \text{(4)}$$
with an energy scale defined such that
\( \frac{1}{2}(e_A' + e_B') = 0. \) (5)

The virtual crystal local energy is then defined to be
\( \bar{e} = C_A e_A' + C_B e_B', \) (6)
where \( C_A \) and \( C_B \) are concentrations of the species \( A \) and \( B \) which constitute the mixed crystal. Using a configurationally averaged Green’s function to describe binary disordered crystals and the method of moments (self-consistent expansion of the averaged Green’s function) to express this operator, various relationships have been obtained for a number of limiting cases. Specific results concerning appropriate moments will not be given here but will be presented as needed.

A classification scheme for the mixed crystal band of interest has been developed and sets of restrictions have been delineated. Letting \( W \) be the total bandwidth and requiring \( \Delta \bar{e} \) to be positive, the limits are [5]:

1. Atomic limit: \( \Delta \bar{e} > W, \) \( W \rightarrow 0. \) (7)
2. Separated bands: \( \Delta \bar{e} > W. \) (8)
3. Persistent case: \( C_A C_B (\Delta \bar{e})^2 \geq \mu_2^0, \) \( \Delta \bar{e} < W. \) (9)
4. Incipient bandgap: \( C_A C_B (\Delta \bar{e})^2 \leq \mu_2^0. \) (10)
5. Amalgamation limit: \( \Delta \bar{e} \ll W. \) (11)

In the above \( \mu_2^0 = \int \rho^0(E)E^2 dE; \rho^0(E) \) is the neat crystal density of states of the pertinent band.

2.2. Band calculations

A quadrupole-quadrupole interaction was used to model the \( \nu_2 \) and \( \nu_5 \) exciton bands. Calculation has been restricted to these features for a number of reasons: the best, most complete series exciton data can be obtained for them; \( \nu_2 \) (\( e_8 \)) and \( \nu_5 \) (\( t_{2g} \)) transform as the quadrupole components \( \{2-1/2 [Y_2^2 + Y_-2^2], Y_2^0 \} \) and \( \{2-1/2 e^{-i\pi/4} [Y_2^1 - iY_2^{-1}], -i2-1/2 [Y_2^2 - Y_2^2], 2-1/2 e^{i\pi/4} [Y_2^1 + iY_2^{-1}] \}, \) respectively; quadrupole moment sums converge quickly and unconditionally; dipolar bands (\( \nu_3, \nu_4 \)) involve slowly converging sums and additional possible complications due to polaritons [9]; and the calculations require only a few empirical parameters. Specifically, for \( \nu_2 \) we employed a site splitting parameter and a value of the quadrupole derivative for the actual \( \nu_2 \) motion; for \( \nu_5 \), two site parameters, a mixing parameter, and the quadrupole derivative for the \( \nu_5 \) motion are in principle needed. In fact, the \( \nu_5 \) mode and band structure are not particularly sensitive to the mixing and one of the site parameters. Motions in the \( \nu_2 \) and \( \nu_5 \) coordinates were assumed to induce quadrupole moments linearly.

Local coordinate systems for each site were constructed to ensure proper phasing of the sites and the second rank tensor components. The interaction energy, matrix elements of \( V_{\mu_\alpha, n'\eta'}, \) is then calculated out to 16 Å by a multipole expansion of the two charge distributions involved. Interactions of each molecule with 156 neighbors are thereby generated; the summation is constant to within better than 3% upon changing the interaction radius from 14 to 16 Å. To calculate band structures and densities of states, the completely positive octant (smallest non-redundant volume) of the Brillouin zone was used. The octant was divided symmetrically into 125 units for \( \nu_2 \) and 64 units for \( \nu_5 \). The hamiltonian was diagonalized for \( k \) values at the centers of these units. A more complete discussion and description of the calculational procedures can be found in ref. [10].

3. Experimental

Details of crystal preparation and Raman apparatus may be found in refs. [1-3,10]. It should be commented that some care was taken in calibrating the monochromator (and to include periodic drivescrew errors) so that absolute frequencies are believed accurate to at least \( \pm 0.2 \) cm\(^{-1} \) for sharp features (the calibration curve was highly overdetermined). Precision and reproducibility have been observed at better than 0.05 cm\(^{-1} \) and thus relative shifts of transition frequencies within a limited range are known quite accurately.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. \( \nu_2 \) bands

The breathing mode (\( \nu_1 \)) is expected to have negligible dynamic (exciton or resonance terms) or static (\( D \) terms) interactions in these crystals. Since neat and dilute mixed crystal spectra are quite sharp
(fwhm < 1 cm⁻¹ typically), changes in crystal site potential can be readily observed. In this section validity of the ideal mixed crystal concept will be explored for the XF₆ crystal system. It will be shown that the ideal mixed crystal approximation is a useful approach to WF₆, MoF₆ and (by implication) other 4d and 5d, mixed crystal systems, but is inadequate for mixed crystals involving UF₆.

Table 1 provides a summary of the observed v₁ peaks in the various crystals. ReF₆ data is also summarized there for a general comparison and completeness. The WF₆/MoF₆ series displays small monotonic shifts of the frequencies. The MoF₆ v₁ feature (≈ 742 cm⁻¹) moves to lower energy and the WF₆ v₁ feature (≈ 772 cm⁻¹) moves to high energy with increasing dilution. This is the prediction of the separated band approximation. However, the prediction of shifts, in this instance given by,

\[
E_A = \epsilon_A - C_B \mu_2^0 / \Delta, \\
E_B = \epsilon_B + C_A \mu_2^0 / \Delta, 
\]

with \( \Delta \approx 30 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) and \( \mu_2^0 \leq 1 \text{ cm}^{-2} \), cannot come close to an explanation for the relatively large (≈ 0.5 cm⁻¹) shifts found for MoF₆. Other effects could contribute to the observed shifts: small changes in static interaction terms with dilution; other levels of WF₆ interacting with the MoF₆ ν₁ level; or possibly other exciton branches associated with ν₁ (e.g., u-branches) induced by increased crystal doping, as evidenced by line shape changes. Notice, however, that shifts of ν₁ WF₆ are almost a factor of five greater in UF₆.

The shifts of UF₆ ν₁ in the WF₆/UF₆ series are large and in the direction of the WF₆ ν₂ band centered at 675 cm⁻¹. This observation indicates changes in the static (D term) interactions. Further support for this conclusion is found in the fact that the energy of ν₁ of UF₆ in WF₆ increases and the energy of ν₁ of WF₆ in UF₆ decreases in increased dilution. The increased line widths with increased dilution are indications of inhomogeneous broadening due to the variety of site potentials possible, depending on the assortment of WF₆ and UF₆ neighbors. An extreme possibility is that local lattice distortions may occur due to differences of interactions between neighboring molecules. Clearly, though, there is no exclusion occurring despite literature reports of solid—solid phase separations at intermediate mole fractions [11,12]. These studies dealt with solid—liquid equilibria while our crystals were vapor phase grown at temperatures below both the triple-point and solid—solid phase transition point.

Table 1 also contains comparable data on ReF₆ neat and mixed crystal to indicate that similar ideal mixed crystal trends are followed even in the presence of exchange forces associated with paramagnetic species [3]. The Jahn–Teller nature of this system [13] apparently plays a minor role in these considerations.

Referring to the vapor phase frequencies in table 2, gas-to-crystal shifts (D terms) are very small for WF₆ and MoF₆. However, the approximately 3 cm⁻¹ shift...
Table 2
Vapor phase transition frequencies (in cm⁻¹)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ν₁</th>
<th>ν₂</th>
<th>ν₃</th>
<th>ν₄</th>
<th>ν₅</th>
<th>ν₆</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MoF₆</td>
<td>741.8</td>
<td>652.0</td>
<td>741.1</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WF₆</td>
<td>772.1</td>
<td>678.2</td>
<td>712.4</td>
<td>252.1</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UF₆</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>625.5</td>
<td>186.2</td>
<td>200.4</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


(assuming no k = 0 shift) of UF₆ in UF₆ compared to ≈0 cm⁻¹ shift of UF₆ in WF₆ is probably significant. This difference is most likely associated with the increased molecular distortion in UF₆ crystal.

To recapitulate, due to the small exciton interactions for ν₁ modes, it has been possible to determine that the static crystal interactions are small for WF₆ and MoF₆ crystals (even for dilute mixed crystals with UF₆ guests). However, in UF₆ crystals the static terms are appreciable with respect to the other members of this series.

4.2. ν₂ bands

The bands corresponding to the molecular ν₂ fundamental offered the greatest possibilities for complete interpretation due to the limited degeneracy and strong Raman scattering. The neat crystal spectra were presented in fig. 3 of paper I [1] and are summarized here in table 3. The mixed crystal spectra are displayed in figs. 1, 2, 3, and 4. The site energies of the two components (A' and A'' on the C₅ site) of the split vibrations are summarized in table 3. Despite the static effects of the WF₆/UF₆ series mentioned in the last subsection, the WF₆ ν₂ centers of gravity in UF₆ and MoF₆ differ by less than 0.1 cm⁻¹. This probably arises from a cancellation of negative static and positive pseudoresonance contributions. Rough estimates of these latter second-order terms (≈5 cm⁻¹) are in agreement with a balancing of the two effects.

Table 3
Summary of ν₂ and ν₅ Raman k = 0 band structures of neat crystals. The raw data comes from tables 2, 3 and 4 of paper I. The k = 0 components are normalized to give top and bottom component reduced energies of 1 and 0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crystal</th>
<th>Width of k = 0 structure (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>Normalized k = 0 energies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ν₂</td>
<td>ν₅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UF₆</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>22.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WF₆</td>
<td>9.7 a)</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.662</td>
<td>0.416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.458</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoF₆</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.319</td>
<td>0.401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.269</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) Overlaps with ν₃ band, structure somewhat distorted and extra feature generated. See text and paper I [1] for further discussion.
b) Not well resolved peaks.
The site splittings for the \( \nu_2 \) vibration are, however, quite different: 3.9 cm\(^{-1}\) for WF\(_6\) in UF\(_6\) and 2.1 cm\(^{-1}\) for WF\(_6\) in MoF\(_6\). Comparing these numbers with the observed 2.4 cm\(^{-1}\) site splitting of UF\(_6\) in WF\(_6\), one concludes that the \( \nu_2 \) site splitting for UF\(_6\) in UF\(_6\) is greater than 4 cm\(^{-1}\) and about equal to 2 cm\(^{-1}\) for WF\(_6\) in WF\(_6\). Since the \( k = 0 \) structure approximately brackets the total bandwidth (see below), these splittings are \( \approx 20\% \) of the total bandwidths. Using this value (with the \( A' \) component higher than the \( A'' \) component as predicted in the

D\(_{4h}\) model) in a quadrupole—quadrupole calculation of the exciton structure, a density of states curve matching the observed \( \nu_1 + \nu_2 \) two-particle band structure (paper II [2]) obtains. Not even qualitative agreement is found if the \( A'' \) component is placed higher or if site splitting is omitted [10]. This calculation predicts the site energy center of gravity (also the band center of gravity for an isolated band) to be at 0.651 when the band is normalized on the \( k = 0 \) structure (as in tables 3 and 4).

Referring to the data of table 2, the averaged gas-to-crystal shifts are \(-2.5\) and \(-3.2\) cm\(^{-1}\) for WF\(_6\) in MoF\(_6\) or UF\(_6\) and UF\(_6\) in WF\(_6\). Using a value of \(-2.5\) cm\(^{-1}\) as a guess for \( D \) of MoF\(_6\) in MoF\(_6\), 649.5 cm\(^{-1}\) (a normalized value of 0.71 in the band) would be the site energy center of gravity. The apparent inconsistency for UF\(_6\) in WF\(_6\) versus the neat crystal band centers can be rationalized on the basis of the afore-

---

Fig. 1. Raman spectra of the \( \nu_2 \) region of WF\(_6\) in various crystals near 77 K. (A) 5\% WF\(_6\) in MoF\(_6\). (B) 50\% WF\(_6\) in MoF\(_6\). (C) Neat WF\(_6\).

Fig. 2. Raman spectra of the \( \nu_2 \) region of MoF\(_6\) in various crystals near 77 K. (A) 50\% MoF\(_6\) in WF\(_6\). (B) 5\% WF\(_6\) in MoF\(_6\). (C) Neat MoF\(_6\).

Fig. 3. Raman spectra of the \( \nu_2 \) region of UF\(_6\) in various crystals near 77 K. An 80\% UF\(_6\) with WF\(_6\) mixed crystal spectrum is also shown in fig. 1 of paper II [2]. The features marked \( A'^* \) are the 5287 A laser plasma line. Some of the concentrations have been achieved by examining the ends of crystals where the kinetic process of vapor to crystal growth and the large differences in vapor pressure of WF\(_6\) and UF\(_6\) have created concentration gradients.
The quadrupole-quadrupole calculation predicts $k = 0$ (normalized) energies and factor group ($D_{2h}$) symmetries at 0 ($A_g$), 0.250 ($B_{1g}$), 0.483 ($B_{2g}$) and 1.000 ($B_{3g}$) in reasonable agreement with data in Table 3. The finer atom-atom interaction ignored may in fact invert the ordering of the $B_{1g}$ and $B_{2g}$ components.

By comparing mixed crystal behavior with calculated dispersion curves, the details of the calculated structure may be tested. All of the mixed crystal series show a significant rise of the lowest energy component with the addition of small amounts of dopant. The relaxation of strict $k$ selection rules allows transitions to states in the region $k \approx 0$ of the Brillouin zone. The rise indicates definite upward dispersion in agreement with all calculated dispersion curves [10]. Thus $k = 0$ occurs at the bottom of the band. The amalgamation of the inner two components with negligible shift also agrees with the relative dispersion of these branches in all calculated dispersion curves. The upper branch, in the calculation, has weak upward dispersion in the $b$ and $c$ directions, but strong downward dispersion in the $a$ direction. This fits the behavior of small shift but increased width (compared to the other components) in the Raman spectra. The $k = 0$ structure occurs near, but not at, the top of the band; its width, therefore, is only an approximation to the total exciton bandwidth.

It is interesting that the $g$, $u$ character of the branches seems to be retained after $k = 0$ selection.

---

Table 4
Summary of observed $\nu_2$ and $\nu_5$ Raman spectra in dilute mixed crystals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guest</th>
<th>Host</th>
<th>Vibration</th>
<th>Gas phase energy (cm$^{-1}$) b)</th>
<th>Energy (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>FWHH (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Center of gravity (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Normalized center of gravity a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UF$_6$</td>
<td>WF$_6$</td>
<td>$\nu_2$</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>529.56</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>530.76</td>
<td>0.847 c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\nu_5$</td>
<td>200.4</td>
<td>531.96</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>204.5</td>
<td>-0.097 d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WF$_6$</td>
<td>UF$_6$</td>
<td>$\nu_2$</td>
<td>678.2</td>
<td>673.7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>675.7</td>
<td>0.669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\nu_5$</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>677.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>321.6</td>
<td>0.157 d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WF$_6$</td>
<td>MoF$_6$</td>
<td>$\nu_2$</td>
<td>678.2</td>
<td>674.73</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>675.76</td>
<td>0.678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>676.79</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) Normalized as in table 3.
b) See refs. [14–16].
c) See text, section 4.2.
d) See text, section 4.4.
rules have been destroyed. Branches which become ungerade at $k = 0$ do not appear in the spectra for small dopant concentrations. This is probably correlated with the fact that the dispersion of all of these nondegenerate branches must be zero at $k = 0$ (by spatial symmetry or by time reversal invariance).

Consulting tables 3 and 4 of paper I or the gas phase data, an approximate value of $\Delta \approx 27 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (ignoring site splittings) is determined for MoF$_6$ and WF$_6$. Since $W \approx 10 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, this is a separated-bands-disordered-mixed-crystal case provided there is no interference from other crystal bands. That is why the $\nu_2$ bands of WF$_6$ and MoF$_6$ (figs. 1 and 2) retain their separate identities.

It has been shown here, that the $\nu_2$ band structure for all three neat and mixed crystal systems is very nearly identical. A reasonably consistent picture of the $\nu_2$ crystal properties and interactions emerges if the molecular properties of Mo, W, and UF$_6$ (e.g., polarizabilities) are considered. Interactions in crystals involving UF$_6$ in significant concentrations are typically 2.5 times larger than those in the MoF$_6$/WF$_6$ systems. The $\nu_2$ $k = 0$ structure approximately brackets the band with the band center occurring $\sim 70\%$ of the distance into this structure. The gas-to-crystal shifts in this averaged band picture are roughly 20 to 30% of the total band width as are the site splittings.

4.3. $\nu_3$ bands

The $\nu_3$ Raman transitions are crystal induced and hence weak; consequently no dilute mixed crystal information could be obtained. The bands are also in a congested spectral region, as $\nu_3$ falls between (and sometimes within) the regions of the more intense $\nu_1$ and $\nu_2$ transitions. Figs. 5 and 6 display the neat and mixed crystal series (see also fig. 5 of ref. [1]). The $\nu_3$ structure of UF$_6$ in the UF$_6$/WF$_6$ mixed crystal series (fig. 6 of ref. [2]) has been compared to the two-particle structure of the $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ and $\nu_2 + \nu_3$ infrared absorption bands. Unfortunately, in MoF$_6$, the intense $\nu_1$ transition hinders complete observation of the upper energy end of the $\nu_3$ band. Nonetheless, the $k = 0$ structure of MoF$_6$ (fig. 5) is very much like that of the lower energy components in UF$_6$. Since the unobstructed, observed exciton width is $\approx 65 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ in UF$_6$, we can scale the spacings of the lower $k = 0$ components of the neat crystals to arrive at an estimated exciton band for MoF$_6$ of $\approx 110\%$ that of UF$_6$. Presumably the corresponding higher energy components of MoF$_6$ $\nu_3$ are masked in MoF$_6$ by $\nu_1$.

Fig. 5. Raman spectra of weak features to high energy of the intense $\nu_2$ structure of WF$_6$ in various crystals near 77 K. These spectra are immediately adjacent to but lower in intensity than those of fig. 4. Neither structure is indicative of an isolated exciton band due to $\nu_2 - \nu_3$ crystal induced interactions. (See text.) (A) 50% WF$_6$ in UF$_6$. (B) 5% UF$_6$ in WF$_6$. (C) < 5% UF$_6$ in WF$_6$. (D) Neat WF$_6$.

Fig. 6. Raman spectra of the $\nu_3$ exciton region of MoF$_6$ in various crystals near 77 K. (A) 50% MoF$_6$ in WF$_6$. (B) 5% WF$_6$ in MoF$_6$. (C) Neat MoF$_6$. The feature at 688 cm$^{-1}$ is due to WF$_6$ $\nu_3$ (and $\nu_2$). The sizable intensity is discussed in the text. The rise at high energy is the MoF$_6$ $\nu_1$ and at low energy is the WF$_6$ $\nu_2/\nu_3$. Very similar mixed crystal spectra of the $\nu_3$ region of UF$_6$ are shown in fig. 6 of paper II [2].
Table 5
Summary of ν₃ and ν₄ Raman k = 0 band structures of neat crystals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vibration</th>
<th>k = 0 component frequencies (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>Normalized or shifted k = 0 frequencies (cm⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WF₆</td>
<td>UF₆</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(247.62)</td>
<td>(246.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ν₄</td>
<td>248.87</td>
<td>185.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>246.11</td>
<td>175.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>191.64</td>
<td>267.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>197.18</td>
<td>272.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ν₃</td>
<td>265.85</td>
<td>272.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>586.39</td>
<td>694.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>590.06</td>
<td>702.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>608.43</td>
<td>718.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>610.74</td>
<td>721.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>649.08</td>
<td>b)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) Overlapping band situation (see text).
b) Conjectured to be obscured by ν₁.
c) Extrapolated value (see text).

The similarity of MoF₆ and UF₆ k = 0 structure is emphasized in Table 5. In fact, further evidence for the相似 band structure can be found in the behavior of both UF₆ and MoF₆ on addition of WF₆ dopant. (One must consider that the lower energy structure of the MoF₆/WF₆ mixed crystals is due to WF₆ ν₃ and ν₂.) Here as for ν₂, the low energy k = 0 component appears to be the bottom of the band. The WF₆ structure is not easily analyzed due to the overlap and mixing with the ν₂ band. The observed structure (fig. 5) does not resemble that of MoF₆ and UF₆.

The ν₃ band structure is most likely determined by electrostatic transition dipole–dipole interactions. The band width should then be proportional to the square of the transition dipole, as is the oscillator strength for infrared absorption. While apparently no oscillator strengths are available in the literature, the published spectra [17] give some idea of the relative strengths. The UF₆ ν₃ absorption maximum corresponds to 5.7 × 10⁻² o.d./torr cm while in MoF₆ it is 6.8 × 10⁻² o.d./torr cm. This comparison is only intended to show that there is qualitative agreement between the bandwidths and the transition dipole oscillator strengths.

The behavior delineated for ν₃ is certainly in contrast to that found for ν₂ and one can therefore conclude that each band carries its own interaction mechanism and that the scaling factors between crystals (MoF₆, WF₆, UF₆ neat crystals) must be determined for each band. In fact, we see here that the ordering of scaling factors has reversed for ν₃ compared to ν₂. However, there is still a correlation with the magnitude of the appropriate molecular properties.

The ν₃ gas phase frequencies (table 2) are 46.5 and 39.1 cm⁻¹ above the lowest k = 0 components (approximately the bottom of the bands) in MoF₆ and UF₆; the actual gas-to-crystal shifts are difficult to extract due to the lack of dilute mixed crystal data and the incomplete band structure. If the values are normalized for bandwidths, they agree to within 5% of the bandwidth. Using MoF₆ parameters for WF₆ the bottom of an unperturbed band is predicted around 665 cm⁻¹, a value lower than the lowest observed k = 0 component in both the ν₂ and ν₃ structure. The ν₂/ν₃ overlap and mixing must be severe for this crystal.

A striking feature of the ν₃ exciton band further confirms these large exciton "dipolar type" interactions. Only the ν₃ and ν₄ modes have appreciable motion of the central metal. Natural uranium is greater than 99% 23⁸U, but natural molybdenum consists of seven isotopes all in roughly equal proportions [19]. The ν₃ gas phase frequency varies by 8.1 cm⁻¹ between 1⁰⁰MoF₆ and 9⁵MoF₆ [14]. Despite this spread of frequencies the k = 0 structure is sharp. This is a very complicated amalgamated band situation. The
resonance interactions override differences in site energies, creating a band structure apparently identical to that of an isotopically pure crystal.

In the MoF₆/WF₆ mixed crystal series (fig. 6) structure attributed here to WF₆ appears quite strong in relation to the MoF₆ ν₃ structure considering the relative concentrations. Possible explanations for this perturbation involve strongly interacting bands; WF₆ ν₃ levels may amalgamate with the MoF₆ band and/or WF₆ ν₃ levels may steal additional intensity from the intense WF₆ ν₂ transitions.

An infrared investigation of these regions would be interesting not only because the dilute crystals could be studied, but also because the nature of the WF₆/MoF₆ ν₃ amalgamation would be clearer due to the change of intensity mechanism.

The important conclusions from this section are: unperturbed ν₂ bands are dipolar in nature, band widths are large, and resonance interactions dominate the smaller site energy differences. As with ν₂ bands the gas-to-crystal shifts scale approximately with band interactions. The scaling between the same bands of different crystals is largely determined by the appropriate molecular parameter (i.e., polarizability, dipole moment, etc.).

4.4. ν₅ bands

ν₆, ν₄ and ν₅ comprise the crowded bending region of the spectrum and, to a greater or lesser extent in all crystals, these modes must be treated as interacting bands. The mixed crystal spectra become especially difficult to treat without prior expectations based on ν₂ and ν₃ data. The neat crystal spectra have been presented in paper I [1] (figs. 4 and tables 3, 4 and 5) and ν₅ density of states data are presented in paper II [2] (figs. 7 and 9). The similarity of the k = 0 structures for MoF₆, WF₆, and UF₆ is shown in table 3 and the dilute mixed crystal data are presented in table 4.

In general, the mixed crystal data do not contradict the previous conclusions of two-particle ν₁ + ν₅ spectra. The WF₆/MoF₆ series, and perhaps the other series as well, is a good example of an amalgamated band binary mixed crystal [5, 10]. Because of these complications and additional spectral congestion, rather more than justifiable weight will be given to the quadrupole–quadrupole calculation in the band analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crystal</th>
<th>ν₅ band center (extrapolated from two-particle spectra and calculations)</th>
<th>Normalized band center a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UF₆</td>
<td>216.5</td>
<td>0.429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WF₆</td>
<td>323.6</td>
<td>0.407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoF₆</td>
<td>320.0</td>
<td>0.458</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) Normalized as in table 2.

The dilute mixed crystal values of table 4 do not agree well for the positioning of the site centers of gravity within the band structures. Consequently the calculated density of states function was positioned on the observed ν₁ + ν₅ two-particle structure and a center of the band (a value from the calculation) was determined. Subtracting the ν₁ frequencies gave the values listed in table 6. The normalized band position agree much better than the experimentally observed dilute crystal centers of gravity do. Moreover, in the physically reasonable regions of the site splitting space [10], the calculated band center falls close to the UF₆ k = 0 component with (k = 0 band) normalized value ≈ 0.39 in agreement with the extrapolated values. It thus appears that one need explain why the normalized dilute crystal values are significantly different than ≈ 0.40.

Dilute mixed crystal quasi- or pseudoresonance interactions have been calculated for ν₅ in various hosts employing eqs. (12). Four precautions must be borne in mind when applying such calculations to real systems: (1) excitonic interactions with overtones are weak since two vibrational quanta must be transferred. (Considering the intersite potential as an expansion in the site normal coordinates, the necessary terms are “higher order”); (2) the interaction parameters used in eqs. (12) are properties of the hosts. As discussed in the ν₁ section, however, the interaction is really dependent on both molecules, not just the host. Comparing widths of ν₅ bands, the UF₆ in WF₆ and WF₆ in UF₆ interaction matrix elements (μ² is related to the square of these) should be scaled by some factor, say the geometric mean of the ν₅ bandwidths. This increases the UF₆ in WF₆ shifts by a factor of 2.9 and...
decreases the WF₆ in UF₆ shifts correspondingly; (3) the shift terms are not additive. Formally one should solve a simultaneous coupling problem, but this is probably not the most severe approximation made for this correction; and (4) the comparison to neat crystal band centers must take account of the interband interactions which shift the band center from the ideal mixed crystal position.

The main conclusion from these pseudoresonance calculations is that ν₅ of the WF₆ in UF₆ is probably perturbed by less than 1 cm⁻¹ but the UF₆ in WF₆ ν₅ position is depressed by more than 10 cm⁻¹. Thus, the UF₆ neat crystal band center would be expected at ≈ 0.45 in substantial agreement with table 6 and the WF₆ data would remain unchanged.

If one accepts the band centers of gravity in table 6, gas-to-crystal shifts are 3.0, 3.6 and 16.1 cm⁻¹ for MoF₆, WF₆ and UF₆ respectively (see table 2). The latter value appears out of line until one considers the proximity of both the ν₄ and ν₆ vibrational levels in UF₆. The larger C₃ distortion of the UF₆ molecules in neat crystal undoubtedly destroys the true octahedral vibrational description and the ensuing crystal site Fermi resonance may push the “ν₅” vibration upward. Indeed, the ν₄ and ν₆ bands are more intense relative to the ν₅ mode in UF₆, than in the other systems. There is also the possibility of significant contributions from interacting band terms (off diagonal transfer integrals pushing the ν₅ band upward), although the similarity of WF₆, MoF₆ and UF₆ bands should be altered by this effect.

Another important factor in frequency changes from vapor to solid in this region is the shift of the 2ν₆ energy levels (see later discussion). On entering the solid, Fermi resonance between 2ν₆ and ν₅ should increase for both WF₆ and MoF₆, but decrease for UF₆. Since 2ν₆ is above ν₅ of UF₆, this will also contribute to an increase in the UF₆ ν₅ frequency. However, the positioning of these levels in the other two molecules should also create increase of the ν₅ frequency on entering the solid. While these arguments are all qualitative, they are corroborated by the observed upward (positive) gas-to-crystal shift.

In summary, we have shown that the ν₅ bands are indeed similar for all neat crystals and are mostly quadrupolar in nature, in rough agreement with calculations (see paper II [2]). The magnitudes of respective resonance interaction (tables 3, 4 and 6) scale qualitatively with expectations of molecular polarizabilities. Details of gas-to-crystal shifts are complicated by pseudoresonance host-guest interactions and crystal induced and altered Fermi resonances which contribute to the observed large positive ν₅ shifts.

4.5. ν₄ bands

The neat crystal spectra of the ν₄ bands are summarized in table 5. In MoF₆ and WF₆ the intense 2ν₆ band masks and possibly perturbs (but see below) the high energy portions. In UF₆ the ν₅ and ν₆ bands bracket the ν₄ structure and may also be masking and/or perturbing it. The above notwithstanding, by shifting the energy reference to the lowest corresponding k = 0 components (table 5) the similarity of the bands is portrayed. Vapor phase frequencies (table 2) for MoF₆, WF₆, and UF₆ occur 11.7, 12.0 and 10.9 cm⁻¹ above the common reference components, again emphasizing a consistency of details.

As with the ν₃ band, exciton structure is probably determined largely via dipole–dipole interactions. The MoF₆ infrared absorption spectrum of Claassen et al. [20] and the UF₆ infrared absorption spectrum of Frlec and Claassen [21] and Burke et al. [17] give ν₃ to ν₄ peak height o.d. ratios of 3.2 and 5.0 agreeing (considering the crudeness of the gas phase oscillator strength ratio estimates) with the ratios of observed and extrapolated exciton bandwidths.

A comment should be made about the dissimilar structure observed at k = 0 for the two “dipole” bands. In a high symmetry crystal, all dipole–dipole bands would have the same structure but for a scaling factor (the square of the transition dipole moment). In the transition metal hexafluorides, site splittings occur and may be appreciable fraction of the width of the narrower bands. Consequently, since these splittings may vary from band to band, there is no requirement that bands with similar resonance mechanisms have similar structure.

Weak features corresponding to ν₄ of the guests have been observed in some mixed crystals. The spectra are summarized in table 7. To help with the analysis, data of ReF₆ in TeF₆ have also been included. Performing a calculation similar to that for the ν₅ vibrations in mixed crystals based on eqs. 12, estimates of pseudoresonance shifts are greater than 10 cm⁻¹ WF₆ in UF₆ and less than 1 cm⁻¹ for UF₆ in WF₆.
### Table 7
Summary of the observed $\nu_4$ Raman spectra in dilute mixed crystals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guest</th>
<th>Host</th>
<th>Frequency (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>FWHH (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Relative intensities</th>
<th>Center of gravity (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Gas-to-crystal shift (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WF$_6$</td>
<td>UF$_6$</td>
<td>250.45</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>256.05</td>
<td>+4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UF$_6$</td>
<td>WF$_6$</td>
<td>267.45</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>267.45</td>
<td>-11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ReF$_6$</td>
<td>TeF$_6$</td>
<td>168.91</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>171.95</td>
<td>-14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>173.47</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>256.05</td>
<td>-41.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>246.81</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>248.11</td>
<td>-8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>250.71</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scatter of the centers of gravity is again both a static and a pseudoresonance effect, the latter being suggested to be more important. No detailed explanation is known for the increased site splitting of WF$_6$ in UF$_6$. Perhaps selective pseudoresonance rather than just static interactions are responsible. A reasonable estimate of the $\nu_4$ gas-to-crystal shift for an ideal mixed crystal is between $-15$ cm$^{-1}$ and $-5$ cm$^{-1}$. These negative values fall into the scheme presented in the last section where the static site shifts due to crystal induced Fermi resonances would shift $\nu_5$ upward and $\nu_4$ downward.

The most important observations for $\nu_4$ bands are: (1) the bands are similar in all systems; (2) they are dipolar in nature; (3) the band width ratios for $\nu_3/\nu_4$ for each crystal scale semiquantitatively with the gas phase $\nu_3/\nu_4$ oscillator strength ratios; and (4) gas-to-crystal shifts involve crystal induced Fermi resonance and pseudoresonances, as occurred with $\nu_5$, in this crowded spectral region.

### 4.6. $\nu_6$ bands

The $\nu_6$ bands were the weakest fundamental transitions observed; consequently only neat crystal spectra were obtained. Table 8 lists the vapor phase frequencies of $\nu_6$ (derived from combination and overtone transitions) and 2 $\nu_6$ transitions (observed in Raman) along with the observed crystal transition frequencies. The crystal values are only (a part of) the $k = 0$ structure. Even so, the centers of the 2 $\nu_6$ structures are nearly harmonic with respect to the centers.

### Table 8
$\nu_6$ and 2 $\nu_6$ frequencies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecule</th>
<th>$\nu_6$ frequency (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>2 $\nu_6$ frequency (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>vapor a)</td>
<td>crystal (this work)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoF$_6$</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WF$_6$</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UF$_6$</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>145.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>149.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>155.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>163.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) Derived from combinations and overtones (refs. [14–16]).
b) Observed Raman transition frequencies (refs. [14–16]).
of the observed $\nu_6$ features. In the absorption spectra of ReF$_6$ in these host crystals [3], the corresponding two-particle bands are approximately centered around 144, 155 and 158 cm$^{-1}$ in MoF$_6$, WF$_6$, and UF$_6$. These values for $\nu_6$ might be true indicators of the band centers or they might reflect the mixing of single particle and two-particle states. Regardless, it is clear that a sizeable positive gas-to-crystal shift has occurred. These shifts are especially large when considered with respect to the absolute frequency of $\nu_6$.

Consider the effect of adding a perturbing quadratic (crystal) potential energy term to a harmonic oscillator so that its frequency increases from $\nu$ to $(1 + x)\nu$. The effect of adding the same potential to an oscillator of frequency $\nu_6$ is to raise it to $\approx (1 + x/a^2)\nu$. A shift of $\nu_6$ in the original oscillator corresponds to a shift of $\approx (x/a)\nu$ in the other when the same additional quadratic potential is applied. This effect is observed in the gas-to-crystal shifts of the series MoF$_6$, WF$_6$, and UF$_6$. However, exact agreement would require (besides more accurate experimental data) consideration of the variation of reduced masses and differences of crystal potentials.

This analysis seems rather ad hoc in view of the consistent previous discussions of large gas-to-crystal shifts associated with crystal induced Fermi resonance. It may well be, however, that the crystal potential function projects preferentially or disproportionately onto the $\nu_6$ ($t_{2g}$) octahedral coordinate. Nonetheless, the trends in the gas-to-crystal shifts (MoF$_6$ > WF$_6$ > UF$_6$) are also consistent with a substantial $\nu_4$-$\nu_6$ Fermi resonance in UF$_6$. It is likely that both Fermi resonance and the previous potential function arguments are responsible for the total gas-to-crystal (albeit neat crystal) behavior.

5. Evaluation of the transition multipole interaction model

Table 9 is a summary of the excitonic interaction mechanisms based on electrostatic multipolar models for the various bands and a comparison of their magnitudes in the various crystals. As discussed in ref. [3], all crystals have very nearly identical crystal dimensions. The trend of the dipolar band widths, which are larger than the other bands, are followed semiquantitatively by the infrared transition intensities.

The quadrupolar bands have been fit with a calculation. A sizeable part of the distinction between the UF$_6$ and the WF$_6$, MoF$_6$ sets of interactions stems from the larger amplitudes of vibration, the larger metal-fluorine distances, and hence the larger derivatives of the polarizability [10]. A point charge model would require partial fluorine charges of 0.62 in the WF$_6$, MoF$_6$ set and 0.80 in UF$_6$ to fit the $\nu_2$ band widths. These values then predict $\nu_5$ band widths of 8.9 and 28 cm$^{-1}$. A static model is too simple, though. Electronic charge polarization is undoubtedly important during nuclear displacements and is probably more important for UF$_6$ than for WF$_6$ and MoF$_6$. A greater electronic polarizability for UF$_6$ is not inconsistent with, for instance, the smaller UF$_6$ $\nu_3$ band width compared to that of MoF$_6$.

Table 9
Summary of band parameters and electrostatic excitonic mechanisms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vibrations</th>
<th>Approximate bandwidths (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Apparent excitonic mechanisms (first non-vanishing electric multipolar moment)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MoF$_6$</td>
<td>WF$_6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_1$</td>
<td>$\leq 1$</td>
<td>$\leq 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_2$</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>9.7 a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_3$</td>
<td>$\approx 70$ b)</td>
<td>$\approx 65$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_4$</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_5$</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) Mixed strongly with $\nu_2$ band
b) Extrapolation of observed structure onto more completely observed bands of other crystals.
moves in this mode so that greater relative motion of charge centers occurs for the molecule with lighter metal (MoF$_6$). The greater motion must be balanced against the difference in electronic polarizabilities to give the approximately equal dipole derivatives (or exciton bands).

Ionicity of transition metal hexafluorides has been discussed and found to be necessary for treatment of vibrational force fields [22,23]. In fact, in a recent series of $X\alpha$ calculations of UF$_6$ electronic properties [24], a crude population analysis of the results placed a charge of $-0.27$ on each fluorine. Examination of the electron density plots for PtF$_6$, determined also via $X\alpha$ calculation [25], shows that the charge distribution on the central metal is increased along the bonds and there is also significant density blossoming outward from the fluorine nuclei (on the same axes). These factors would increase the effective quadrupole moment above that of a point charge assignment.

This discussion should not be construed as proof of the adequacy of the multipolar model; it does, however, support it. It would be interesting to perform the dipolar calculation and compare parameters. At some level the finer points of the atom–atom interactions must be included (although formally they could be projected onto higher multipolar interactions). The $\nu_6$ bands would have (if the molecules were perfect octahedra) an octupole–octupole term as the first nonvanishing interaction. It would be quite a test to see what molecular parameters would be required to fit the $\approx 18$ cm$^{-1}$ band of UF$_6$ with this presumably weaker interaction.

6. Conclusions and summary

The vibrational exciton bands of MF$_6$ (M = Mo, W, U) have been investigated through the Raman spectra of neat and mixed crystals. Site energies (gas-to-crystal shifts), $k = 0$ structure, $k \approx 0$ dispersions, and the densities of states have been examined using fundamental band neat and mixed crystal spectra, two-particle ($\nu_1 + \nu_2$) neat crystal spectra, and $\nu_2$ and $\nu_5$ quadrupole–quadrupole calculations as sources of information.

The individual neat crystal bands have been understood in terms of electrostatic multipole models with the scaling between crystals or bands of the same crystal being reasonably consistent with molecular parameters (dipole derivatives, partial charges, bond distance, amplitudes of vibration, electronic polarizability).

In discussing site energies (or band centers) and intensities of Raman spectra, crystal-induced Fermi resonances were found to be important. The $\nu_6$ mode evidenced the effects of additional potential energy terms on a low energy vibration.

In discussing dilute mixed crystals it becomes apparent that pseudoresonances were important. This appears somewhat at odds with the fact that the band structures are relatively independent of the compound and its exact distribution of vibrational energy levels and resonance mechanisms. It may be that the interaction between bands is partially projected onto a band center shift term. Such overall band shifts can be incorporated quite nicely into a general crystal-induced Fermi interaction. The remaining differential shift terms, which change relative $k = 0$ positions, may be small. These interactions which appear most prominently in the bending region, may be associated with the inability to obtain an accurate fit of the calculated $\nu_5$ $k = 0$ structure with that observed for UF$_6$ (most clearly resolved). A good fit to the $\nu_2$ bands of MoF$_6$ and UF$_6$ is obtained with the same quadrupolar calculation.

The concept of the ideal mixed crystal has been shown not to be rigorously correct for the mixed crystal vibrational properties of MoF$_6$ or WF$_6$ with UF$_6$.
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